# Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

#### **LEXISNEXIS® A.S. PRATT®**

**NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2020** 

**EDITOR'S NOTE: DEVELOPMENTS** 

Steven A. Meyerowitz

ARE WE ALL FIDUCIARIES NOW? CONSENT RIGHTS AFTER PACE INDUSTRIES—PART II David S. Forsh, Corby J. Baumann, and Matthew J. Kerschner

IN THE WAKE OF COVID-19: PROTECTIVE ACTIONS RETAIL TENANTS SHOULD TAKE WHEN FACING A LANDLORD'S BANKRUPTCY

Monique D. Almy, Gregory D. Call, Thomas F. Koegel, and Randall L. Hagen

SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT IGNORED IN A CRAMDOWN—BUT WHAT'S SO UNFAIR ABOUT THAT?

Shmuel Vasser and Fric Hilmo

### IN DESPERATE TIMES . . . TRAVELPORT PUTS \$1.15 BILLION IN COLLATERAL VALUE BEYOND THE REACH OF ITS CREDITORS

David L. Ruediger, George Ticknor, Jason Ulezalka, Jonathan W. Young, and Stephen J. Humeniuk

COURT CONCLUDES FORCE MAJEURE CLAUSE IN LEASE EXCUSED 75 PERCENT OF TENANT'S RENT OBLIGATION BASED ON TENANT'S PERMITTED REDUCED USE DURING COVID-19 SHUTDOWN

Gregory D. Call, Tracy E. Reichmuth, and Ethan W. Simonowitz

NEW YORK COURT ADOPTS MAJORITY "TAINT TRAVELS" RULE Matthew J. Gold. Dov R. Kleiner, and Michael S. Levine

DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY COURT DIVERGES FROM FIFTH CIRCUIT: MINORITY SHAREHOLDER'S BLOCKING RIGHT INVALIDATED AND FIDUCIARY DUTY IMPOSED Shmuel Vasser and Casey Norman

CANNABIS INVESTORS AND PRODUCERS FACE NEED FOR DUE DILIGENCE Benjamin P. Malerba and Stella Lellos

**EXECUTORY CONTRACTS IN LATIN AMERICAN REORGANIZATIONS** 

Francisco L. Cestero and Gabriel Herscovici Junqueira



# Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

VOLUME 16	NUMBER 8	Nov./Dec. 2020
Editor's Note: Developments Steven A. Meyerowitz		377
Are We All Fiduciaries Now? Con David S. Forsh, Corby J. Baumann	nsent Rights After <i>Pace Industries</i> —Part II n, and Matthew J. Kerschner	380
In the Wake of COVID-19: Prote Facing a Landlord's Bankruptcy	ective Actions Retail Tenants Should Take W	hen
Monique D. Almy, Gregory D. Ca Randall L. Hagen	ıll, Thomas F. Koegel, and	394
Subordination Agreement Ignore That?	d in a Cramdown—But What's So Unfair Ab	oout
Shmuel Vasser and Eric Hilmo		400
the Reach of Its Creditors	ort Puts \$1.15 Billion in Collateral Value Bey r, Jason Ulezalka, Jonathan W. Young, and	yond 404
,	Clause in Lease Excused 75 Percent of Tenan t's Permitted Reduced Use During COVID-1	
Gregory D. Call, Tracy E. Reichm	uth, and Ethan W. Simonowitz	408
<b>New York Court Adopts Majority</b> Matthew J. Gold, Dov R. Kleiner,		412
Blocking Right Invalidated and		
Shmuel Vasser and Casey Norman		416
Cannabis Investors and Producer Benjamin P. Malerba and Stella Le		419
<b>Executory Contracts in Latin Am</b> Francisco L. Cestero and Gabriel H		425



#### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the <b>Editorial Content</b> appearing in these volumes or replease call:	eprint permission,	
Kent K. B. Hanson, J.D., at	. 415-908-3207	
Email: kent.hanso		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000	
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:		
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844	
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385	
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341	
Customer Service Website		
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call		
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940	
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293	

Library of Congress Card Number: 80-68780

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7846-1 (print) ISBN: 978-0-7698-7988-8 (eBook)

ISSN: 1931-6992

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law [page number] ([year])

**Example:** Patrick E. Mears, *The Winds of Change Intensify over Europe: Recent European Union Actions Firmly Embrace the "Rescue and Recovery" Culture for Business Recovery*, 10 Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law 349 (2014)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc. Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

# Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

#### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **EDITOR**

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

SCOTT L. BAENA

Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP

Andrew P. Brozman

Clifford Chance US LLP

MICHAEL L. COOK

Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP

Mark G. Douglas

Jones Day

Mark J. Friedman

DLA Piper

STUART I. GORDON

Rivkin Radler LLP

PATRICK E. MEARS

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law is published eight times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral New York smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

#### In Desperate Times . . . Travelport Puts \$1.15 Billion in Collateral Value Beyond the Reach of Its Creditors

## By David L. Ruediger, George Ticknor, Jason Ulezalka, Jonathan W. Young, and Stephen J. Humeniuk\*

The authors of this article discuss how certain borrowers experiencing adverse economic conditions have taken advantage of flexible terms in their credit agreements to transfer valuable collateral beyond the reach of their senior secured creditors.

Certain borrowers in industries experiencing particularly adverse economic conditions have taken advantage of flexible terms in their credit agreements to transfer valuable collateral beyond the reach of their senior secured creditors. In many of these situations, the borrowers utilized unrestricted subsidiaries to accomplish the transfers. An unrestricted subsidiary is not bound by the restrictive covenants in a credit agreement that, among other things, impose limitations on the incurrence of debt, the granting of liens, the making of investments and the declaration of dividends and other distributions to equityholders. Assets owned by unrestricted subsidiaries can generally be sold, encumbered or otherwise transferred without restriction.

#### J. CREW

Several years ago, J. Crew transferred its brand to an unrestricted subsidiary using what is now generally referred to in the market as a "J. Crew trap door" provision. J. Crew effectuated this transfer via a two-step process. First, the brand was transferred to a restricted subsidiary (which was not a loan party) using one of the investment baskets contained in the credit agreement. Second, the restricted subsidiary transferred the brand to an unrestricted subsidiary using a separate investment basket that permitted investments by a restricted subsidiary in an unrestricted subsidiary—to the extent financed with proceeds received from an investment in such restricted subsidiary. Taking the position that the second transfer was "financed with the proceeds" of the first transfer, J. Crew was able to convey a material portion of the collateral securing its senior debt to an unrestricted subsidiary without the consent of its secured lenders.

<sup>\*</sup> David L. Ruediger (david.ruediger@lockelord.com), George Ticknor (george.ticknor@lockelord.com), Jason Ulezalka (jason.ulezalka@lockelord.com), and Jonathan W. Young (jonathan.young@lockelord.com) are partners at Locke Lord LLP. Stephen J. Humeniuk (stephen.humeniuk@lockelord.com) is an associate at the firm.

#### **PETSMART**

PetSmart represents another example of a borrower using baskets in its credit agreement to transfer assets outside the reach of its secured lenders. PetSmart first transferred a portion of its equity interest in a valuable subsidiary that owned its Chewy.com platform to a holding company outside the loan party structure, and then transferred an additional portion of its equity interest in the Chewy entity to an unrestricted subsidiary. These transfers did not rely on a "trap door" but instead were accomplished through a combination of investment and restricted payment baskets in the credit agreement. Once PetSmart completed the equity transfers, the Chewy entity was no longer a "whollyowned" subsidiary and, accordingly, not required to guaranty the PetSmart debt.

#### **OTHERS**

In addition to J. Crew and PetSmart, similar transfers were effectuated by Neiman Marcus and Windstream Services, LLC, with the latter involving a creative interpretation of a sale-leaseback covenant. In each instance, the company utilized an aggressive interpretation of the provisions in its credit agreement to access additional liquidity by moving valuable assets out of the secured lenders' collateral package.

It has been publicly reported that UK-based Travelport Limited is the latest borrower to avail itself of a weak covenant structure in its credit documents to transfer assets beyond the reach of its secured creditors. Travelport, a portfolio company of private equity sponsors Elliott Management and Siris Capital Group, agreed to sell two valuable subsidiaries to a prospective buyer in January of this year. Following the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, a dispute arose between the parties as to whether the terms of the purchase agreement required them to proceed with the sale.

The day after the buyer announced its intention not to proceed with the acquisition, Travelport notified the lenders under its \$2.8 billion first lien term loan credit agreement that Travelport had elected to designate two of its subsidiaries as "unrestricted subsidiaries" and to transfer certain intellectual property assets including travel registration systems and patents valued at \$1.15 billion to the newly designated unrestricted subsidiaries.<sup>1</sup>

https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-05-22/elliott-backed-travelport-faces-lender-furor-after-moving-assets.

#### **ANALYSIS**

In many credit agreements, a borrower's ability to designate unrestricted subsidiaries and to consummate certain investment/distribution transactions are subject to the condition that the borrower demonstrate *pro forma* compliance with a leverage ratio or other financial covenant. In both J. Crew and PetSmart, litigation was commenced by lenders challenging the questionable transfers. Among other arguments, the lenders disputed the leverage ratio calculations asserted by the borrowers, arguing that such calculations were based upon artificially inflated EBITDA calculations.

The Travelport first lien credit agreement is not publicly available and we cannot comment on the specific terms of the negative covenant and unrestricted subsidiary provisions contained in that agreement. However, it has been reported that Travelport utilized baskets under its credit agreement not qualified by any leverage ratio or other financial covenant. If that is the case, then it stands to reason that Travelport's secured lenders will not be able to challenge these transactions based on improper financial calculations.

When covenant non-compliance or other breach of contract cannot be proven, disappointed lenders are left to fall back upon fraudulent transfer arguments, which are fact specific and challenging to litigate. In J. Crew and PetSmart, a number of affected lenders ultimately chose to settle and accept early payment rather than continuing to litigate. In J. Crew, those lenders that did not agree to settle have been engaged in years of litigation, with no clear resolution in sight. These lenders sued on a variety of breach of contract, fraud, and fraudulent transfer claims, but the case was substantially narrowed in April of 2018. At that time, the Supreme Court of New York for New York County dismissed the fraudulent conveyance, fraud, and declaratory judgment claims, as well as claims against J. Crew affiliates and the administrative agent. In its ruling, the court found the claims were barred by a "no-action" clause contained in one of the agreements. Breach of contract claims remained pending based on alleged covenant non-compliance and lack of appropriate consent and ratification until the lawsuit was dismissed in July 2020. In addition, the Unsecured Creditors Committee in J. Crew's bankruptcy case is seeking to raise challenges to the underlying transactions.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The ultimate outcome of the litigation and contested matters remains uncertain. We note that litigation challenging transfers of valuable collateral is a poor substitute for the collateral itself, among other reasons because such litigation may take years to resolve. The J. Crew, PetSmart, and Travelport cases

are timely reminders to secured lenders to consider the interplay of the baskets in their credit agreements, as well as the cumulative availability to borrowers under these baskets. Such review is particularly warranted for credit agreements that—in line with recent trends—provide multiple baskets for dispositions, restricted payments, and other transactions, and then permit those baskets to be used both individually and in the aggregate.

That flexibility appears to have enabled Travelport to move critical assets beyond the reach of its senior secured lenders, and without the need to show covenant compliance on a *pro forma* basis. As shown by prior cases, secured lenders will have their work cut out for them to the extent they challenge transfers and dispositions that are authorized by the plain language of the credit documents.